

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I feel if you intend to charge per minute, I know families would have to drop the internet service because of the high charge. Please do not go to this per minute charge, if you do, it will be a great loss to the internet.
Thanks...Michael Hawks

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: wally ratliff

Proceeding Name: 96-45

Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name

Contact Email: wrat@iiland.net

Address Line 1: rt 1 box 214 b

Address Line 2:

City: lexington

State: MO

Zip Code: 64067

Postal Code:

Submission Type: 102

Submission Status: ACCEPTED

Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed:

File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 14:03:07

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111219573

DOCKET FILE RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

If this is allowed to come into effect, you will have alot of angry people including myself. This is already a country that is run by big business and if this is what is coming into being, then it si just one more way of putting it to the poor people. I for one if this takes affect will drop from the internet and i really don't think that is what the government is really looking for.....

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Randall K. Harris**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **rkharris@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **286 Thor**
Address Line 2:
City: **Whiteman** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **124** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 13:21:36** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111012374**

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINALS
JAN 16 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

CC 96-45 presents considerable concerns regarding a potential bias on the part of the telco's. As I read the proposal, the major Telco's will be exempt from this surcharge. Using a surcharge to compensate the Telco's for bandwidth congestion would be fine if the Telco's are also required to comply. Essentially what this proposal implements is a fine on the smaller ISP's. I implore the FCC to take a close look at all the implications inherent in placing a punitive penalty on the small operator.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Randy Rose**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **rando@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **1437 Woodlawn Dr**
Address Line 2:
City: **Warrensburg** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **64093** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed:
File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 13:11:17** Date Filed:
Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111879783**

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Ref proceeding # 96-45, charging internet users and/or ISPs for use of the phone lines is a slick way for the phone companies to take over control of the internet. You can bet that while they are rushing to get others to pay extra, they are attempting to monopolize the market by putting up their own internet servers free of charge. If the FCC buckles to this charade, they will in fact be faced with legislating the phone companies again over monopolization. Mark my words.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE**

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: **George F. Esser**

Proceeding Name: **96-45**

Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: **applicant_name**

Contact Email: **gesser@sbwe.com**

Address Line 1: **500 S. Ohio**

Address Line 2: **Suite 300**

City: **Sedalia**

State: **MO**

Zip Code: **65301**

Postal Code:

Submission Type: **7**

Submission Status: **ACCEPTED**

Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed:

File Number:

Date Submission: **1/11/98 13:09:12**

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # **1998111260553**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

Please abandon the concept of per minute charges on internet access. It will make for difficult and expensive access for educational, business, and private usage. This is a bad idea.

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Richard Reed**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **rreed@debtfree.com**
Address Line 1: **370 E 2nd S**
Address Line 2:
City: **Green River1** State: **WY**
Zip Code: **82935** Postal Code: **4539**
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 13:03:58** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111873321**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

In regards to the proposed "per minute" charges the phone companies are trying to enact-Please do NOT allow this action. Small business will suffer if they are forced to pay more for this basic service. Thank you.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Tavis M. Shaw

Proceeding Name: 96-45

Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name

Contact Email: shawtm@iland.net

Address Line 1: 2B Greenwood Park

Address Line 2:

City: Warrensburg

State: MO

Zip Code: 64093

Postal Code:

Submission Type: 102

Submission Status: ACCEPTED

Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed: File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 12:21:38

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111070482

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Dear Sir/Ma'am:

This note is in regards to private internet access versus phone companies providing the access.

Please insure that Local phone companies don't get the ISP business to themselves. They're claiming that all this Internet use is overloading their systems -- while simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). Obviously they think the ISP business is worth pursuing, they just want to price everyone else out of the market.

If these access charges go through, most Internet user access will cost significantly more -- either directly, by the telephone company's charging you more for your phone line(s), or indirectly, by ISPs raising their rates in order to cover their additional costs.

Want some scary numbers? Long distance companies pay \$.06/min access charges to local telephone companies. If similar charges were placed on Internet calls, users who spend an average of 60 minutes per day on-line would see their monthly bill go up ~\$108/month. That's not small change.

Do teh correct thing by saying no to teh big phone compaines and give smaller provate providers a chance!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,
Tavis Shaw

RECEIVED
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
JAN 12 1998

There is now a system available to communicate that all Americans can enjoy because it is affordable.

Now that it has developed into a large successful system, it appears a few greedy business want to increase their profits by raising their prices.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In case you don't know, very few people could afford to use the NET if the price is raised.

Not only users will be disadvantaged so will businesses that sell and service equipment that is used on the net, i.e. less people that can afford the high prices on usage will buy less equipment etc.

I am apposed to any change in fee structure that would increase the cost to the end user.

Directly or indirectly.
(96-45)

Sincerely

Larry Beland
1023 Trevino
Clinton, Mo. 64735
leb@iland.net

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Leland Bingaman
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: thetruth@write.me.com
Address Line 1: 2705 W. 11th Street
Address Line 2:
City: Sedalia State: MO ☒
Zip Code: 65301 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 ☒ Submission Status: ACCEPTED ☒ Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: 1/12/98 15:55:48 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 15:59:16
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998112256001

RECEIVED
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I have deep concern for the end user of the internet. What ever changes or decisions are made, please do not let the common internet user get stuck with the bill. To pass costs on to the the common user, like myself, would put me OFF the internet and out of touch with the information age. This is such a wonderful time in history to have access to information and to share information. PLEASE do not let anything hinder it! I beg of you.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE**

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: **Shawn Crouch**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Proceeding Name: **96-45**

Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: **applicant_name**

Contact Email: **scrouch@umr.edu**

Address Line 1: **1702 North Oak Street**

Address Line 2:

City: **Rolla**

State: **MO**

Zip Code: **65401**

Postal Code:

Submission Type: **7**

Submission Status: **ACCEPTED**

Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed:

File Number:

Date Submission: **1/12/98 13:59:16**

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 14:06:40**

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # **1998112580550**

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Please do not levy additional per-minute charges on internet users & ISP's. Doing so will hurt the educational opportunities for students (like myself) and it will hurt our economy (due to the increase in trade over the Internet).

By adding per-minute charges, you will encourage a monopolistic economy because the phone companies will run the ISP's out of business. Then, they will more than likely raise the cost for access to the Internet, thus deterring students & business from accessing the Internet.

Again, I plead with you to not levy new per-minute charges on Internet/phone users. As a student, I'm broke already and simply cannot afford more bills!

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Travis Dallegge**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **tdallegg@radiks.net**
Address Line 1: **3445 N 106th Plz., #1708**
Address Line 2:
City: **Omaha** State: **NE** ☒
Zip Code: **68134** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/12/98 13:17:16** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 13:21:07**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998112444824**

RECEIVED
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I am concerned about how internet access fees have the potential to go up. I don't think it is fair for me to have to pay the phone company a fee to use their service, then pay a seperate fee to my ISP to use their service and then have the phone company charge me again. If ISP fees go up because of this, I will have to cancel my service, as will many people. A per minute charge is not the answer.

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: James Coburn
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:
Address Line 1: 2004 Madison Ave.
Address Line 2:
City: Lexington State: MO ☒
Zip Code: 64067 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 ☒ Submission Status: ACCEPTED ☒ Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: 1/12/98 12:23:36 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 12:28:08
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998112174103

RECEIVED
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

To whom it may concern:

In regards to the article about the possible levying of fees to ISP for Internet traffic, I think it would be a great crime to allow this to occur. Not only would most home users not be able to use this great service, but most schools would not be able to afford the extra charges. Also, if this passes, net traffic would drop so low, I think that it would not be profitable to continue. I urge you, as a net user and a citizen of the United States to not pass this resolution. Thank you.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Jason Parmenter**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **cman@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **133 ellsworth Ln.**
Address Line 2:
City: **W.A.F.B.** State: **MO** ☐
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☐ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☐ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☐
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/12/98 12:16:33** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 12:20:49**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998112207037**

DOCKET FILE **ORIGINAL RECEIVED**

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I feel that the Internet is just fine the way it is now and don't think it is a good idea for local telephone companies to charge us per minute for Internet use. If you do decide to go through with this plan I think a lot of people will not be on the net as long and you won't make as much money as you think you will.

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Haley Dingfelder

Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name

Contact Email: airborne@iland.net

Address Line 1: RR3 Box 280C

Address Line 2:

City: Cole Camp

State: MO

Zip Code: 65325 Postal Code:

Submission Type: 7

Submission Status: ACCEPTED

Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed:

File Number:

Date Submission: 1/12/98 12:08:01

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 12:21:40

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998112591790

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98